Régie de l'énergie du Canada

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 84084-24-0007/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur L09

Canadian Energy Regulator Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW Calgary, AB, Canada T2R 0A8 Bid Email: proposals.propositions@cer-rec.gc.ca at on F.O Pla Add Comments This document does not contain a security requirement Des

Proposal To: Canadian Energy Regulator

We hereby offer to sell to Canada Energy Regulator, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out thereof.

On behalf of the bidder, by signing below, I confirm that I have read the entire bid solicitation including the documents incorporated by reference into the bid solicitation and I certify that:

- 1. The bidder considers itself and its products able to meet all the mandatory requirements described in the bid solicitation;
- 2. This bid is valid for the period requested in the bid solicitation;
- 3. All the information provided in the bid is complete, true and accurate; and
- 4. If the bidder is awarded a contract, it will accept all the terms and conditions set out in the resulting contract clauses included in the bid solicitation.

Title						
Indigenous Engagement and Consultation Services						
Solicitation No.		Amendment No		Date		
84084-24-0007/A		01		2024-05-03		
Solicitati	on Closes	Time Zone				
at	02:00 PM - 14h00			Mountain Daylight		
on	2024-05-28	24-05-28 Time (MDT)				
Plant: Destination: Other: D						
Address inquiries to:						
Loi, Ngan						
Telephone No.			E-mail			
403-389-3354			ngan.loi@cer-rec.gc.ca			
Destination – of Goods, Services, and Construction:						
See herein						

Instructions: See Herein

Dell'erane manifestat	D-15				
Delivery required	Delivery	offered			
See Herein					
Vendor/firm Name and Add	ress				
T. 1 N					
Telephone No.					
E-mail					
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/firm (type or print)					
Signature		Date			

84084-24-0007/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

This amendment was issued to answer the following questions:

Question 1: Are proponent allowed to join forces with other consultants?

Answer 1: Yes, if the bidder meets the Indigenous business requirements of the Set-aside Program for Indigenous Business. Please see Annex 9.4, Supply Manual for more information.

Question 2: On page 30 it reads "project lead" on page 31 it reads "bidder experience". Both criteria request 2 examples from the past 5 years. While a person can meet the mandatory requirements, the bidding party must also meet the same requirements, assuming both are not the same. Do the projects for both need to be the same?

Answer 2:

The 2 criteria provide the bidder the opportunity to highlight experience in different sections. Section 2.1 (Proposed Project Lead) is specific to the individual and the projects they have been the lead of. Section 3.1 (Bidder Experience) provides the bidder an opportunity to highlight cooperate experience as the primary contractor on specific projects. For some bidders, this may mean that the 2 project examples provided are the same for both criteria and this would be accepted. However, both sections do not need to highlight the same project examples.

Question 3: Are the timelines suggested that have the contract finishing October 31 firm or do they have flexibility?

Answer 3:

Some short-term flexibility may be provided due to exceptional circumstances.

Question 4:

Would the supplier be responsible for supplying and paying for the following?

- Elders
- Document translation
- Any other ancillary services

Answer 4:

Yes, according to Section 2.5 of Annex A - Statement of Work, "All material expenses and resources required to complete the work will be borne by the Contractor".

Question 5: We assume that the use of the term 'consultation' in the context of the RFP is being used in the same way as 'public engagement' versus 'formal consultation'. Could you please confirm that this is an accurate assumption?

Answer 5:

The use of the word consultation in the RFP is meant to convey informal public engagement and dialogue, as opposed to a legal interpretation of the concept of consultation, such as the Crown's duty to consult.

All other terms and conditions remain the same