



RETURN OFFERS TO:

Parks Canada Agency Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services

Offer Fax: 1-855-983-1808

Offer Email:

pc.soumissionsami-bidsrpc.pc@pc.gc.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to the Request for Standing Offer. Offers submitted by email directly to the Contracting Authority or to any other email address will not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is 15 megabytes. Parks Canada Agency (PCA) is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to offer documents will not be accepted.

REVISION 002 TO A

REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions remain the same.

Offer To: Parks Canada Agency

We hereby offer to sell to His Majesty the King in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Issuing Office

**Parks Canada Agency
Cornwall, ON**

Title-Sujet Request for Standing Offer - Environmental Engineering Services for National Parks and National Historic Sites in Northern Canada	
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P468-24-0002/A	Date: June 03, 2024
Amendment No. - N° de modification: 002	

Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin :		
at – à 2:00 PM	on – le June 25, 2024	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire EDT - HAE

F.O.B. - F.A.B.		
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/>	Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à :		
Sheldon Lalonde sheldon.lalonde@pc.gc.ca		
Telephone No. - No de telephone (343) 585-3836		
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction:		
See Herein – Voir aux présentes		

**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER
À ÊTRE COMPLÉTÉ PAR LE SOUMISSIONAIRE**

Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Address - Adresse	
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur	
Title - Titre	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone: _____	
E-Mail Adress – Adresse E-Mail: _____	
Signature	Date

Amendment 002

This amendment is raised to:

- A. Answer questions from bidders

A. Questions and Answers

QUESTION #	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
1	Will PCA accept Arial 10pt font as an alternative to Times New Roman 11pt?	Accepted fonts are Arial 10pt, Calibri 11pt and Times New Roman 11pt.
2	Referring to the main RFSO document. Can PCA provide bidders with a working/editable version of Appendix B1 Price Offer? Otherwise, please confirm if PCA will permit bidders to recreated in their own format while maintaining the same structure as presented in the RFSO.	There are no working/editable versions of Appendix B1 Price Offer provided. Offerors must submit in the same format as presented in RFSO.
3	Regarding Appendix B1 - Price Offer, would the PCA consider including additional rows dedicated to Senior, Intermediate, and Junior Environmental Scientists specializing in Risk Assessment?	No
4	Can PCA provide bidders with a working/editable version of Appendix C Team Identification Format? Otherwise, please confirm if PCA will permit bidders to recreate in their own format while maintaining the same structure as presented in the RFSO.	There are no working/editable versions of Appendix C Team Identification Format provided. Offerors must submit in the same format as presented in RFSO.
5	Referring to the main RFSO document. Can PCA please confirm that bidders are intending to bid on multiple Regions, they must submit one (1) response for each Region related to Part 7 Indigenous / Inuit Benefits Criteria?	Correct.
6	Would Parks Canada consider extending the due date by two weeks?	Amendment #1 extended to June 25, 2024
7	Are we allowed to use the same project for more than one technical discipline?	The onus is on the proponent to ensure all requirements are satisfied.
8	Are we allowed to submit the CV of one individual to fill more than one senior role?	The same resource can be identified, but the onus is on the proponent to ensure all requirements are satisfied. If this person is dedicated to one or more senior personnel, a backup will be required in case there is conflict where the person cannot satisfy both requirements due to scheduling/resource constraints.

QUESTION #	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
9	<p>We request some clarity and some flexibility on the definition of the "Offeror" for these proposals.</p> <p>The RfP states :</p> <p>"Offeror": "Offeror" means the person or entity (or, in the case of a joint venture, the an offer to provide services under a call-up resulting from a standing offer subsidiaries or other affiliates of the Offeror, or its sub-consultants.</p> <p>And part 6 Technical Evaluation 3.2.4 states that:</p> <p>We are looking for a demonstration that the Offeror has the Senior Personnel with the capacity, capability and expertise to perform the RS.</p> <p>It then lists 19 Senior Specialists but says.</p> <p>4. The Offeror must identify Senior Personnel that are within the Propone and further identify all positions, individuals and firms that would be sub</p> <p>Given that the submission is limited to firms that have close associations with Indigenous firms in the Territories (and the NU submission are led by Inuit firm), and the large number of Senior Personnel with different and some highly rare specialities required to fill the roster, we are requesting that the "Offeror" may include sub-consultants whose CVs will count in the evaluation.</p>	<p>To satisfy the requirements of this RFSO, offerors are encouraged to review paragraph GI 17 JOINT VENTURE.</p>
10	<p>What is the document called the "Offer" listed on page 11? Is this the same document called Section I: Technical Offer listed on page 2 of the document entitled PART 6 - SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION?</p>	<p>The 'Offer' referred to on page 11 is the awarded Standing Offer Agreement.</p>
11	<p>Is the Indigenous / Inuit Benefits Framework (IIBF) a separate section from the evaluated section SRE 3.2.5 Indigenous / Inuit Collaboration?</p>	<p>Correct</p>
12	<p>Do we include Section II, Indigenous / Inuit Benefits Framework as a separate, standalone document, as per the instructions on page 2 of the document entitled PART 6 - SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION, or do we include it as a section within Section I: Technical Offer as per the instructions on page 11?</p>	<p>Refer to 'GI 9 OVERVIEW OF SELECTION PROCESS' for submission details.</p>
13	<p>Does the consultant need to be a registered landscape architect, or would a senior environmental scientist (biologist) specialized in land reclamation with northern experience be acceptable?</p>	<p>The onus is on the proponent to ensure all requirements are satisfied.</p>
14	<p>Will Parks Canada be evaluating the employment history of individuals to determine the Senior Personnel has 15 years experience or would Parks Canada prefer the consultant show projects dating back to 2009 to demonstrate this?</p>	<p>The onus is on the consultant to present requested material for evaluation.</p>

QUESTION #	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
15	Section 2.1.3 in Appendix B, Part B. For the Fees, the rental rates for equipment owned by the consultant should be included in the hourly fees (. Does that include specialized equipment that someone like a geophysicist would use? (I cant think of anything off the top). Or would that fall under 2.2.1 Major disbursements?	Equipment would be assessed at the time of call-up, likely as a Disbursement.
16	Part 6, Page 2, section 2.3 - Do the formatting instructions apply only to the Technical Offer document or also to CVs, etc.?	CV's may be presented as Offeror see fit.
17	Part 6, Page 6, section 3.2.4– Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience is indicated as being worth 30 points. When we add up the points of the rated requirements listed in sections 3.2.1 to 3.2.5 (pages 5 to 7), the total is 105 points. However, in the table in section 3.3 (page 7), it seems to indicate that Senior Personnel is worth 25 points. Please clarify.	Section 3.2.4– Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience is worth 25 points.
18	Do we provide a CV for back-ups, or just list them in the organization chart?	Refer to Question 8.
19	Section 3.2.3 Past Experience, bullet 2 Page 6 of the Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE) document states the following: What the offeror should provide: a) A brief description of a maximum of four significant projects over the last ten (10) years by the Offeror, relating to the following Technical disciplines: i. One (1) Environmental Impact Assessment, Permitting and Related Studies Project; ii One (1) Environmental Management of Federal Facilities project; iii One (1) Contaminated Sites Consulting Services project and iv. One (1) Construction Planning, Design, Supervision project. Are any points deducted if the consultant uses a single project to demonstrate two technical disciplines?	To be awarded points the presentation must satisfy all the requested Technical Disciplines (1 to 4), the onus is on your firm to decide how.

ALL OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED