Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 2023-7449056 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client 7449056

Amd. No. - N° de la modif. 002 File No. - N° du dossier 7449056

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS – AMENDMENT 002

Title of Project: Global Environm	nent Facility (GEF) A	ssembly - Audiovis	sual equipment and staff			
Reference number: 74490 CLOSING DATE: 2:00 PM		ime Zone: (FD	T\			
Return Bids to:	011 25-05-2025 1	IIIIe Zolie. (LD	<u>., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
Return Bids to:						
To this email address only:						
Micheline.lafontaine@internationa	l.gc.ca					
Contracting Authority's Addres Global Affairs Canada	s and Issuing Offic	ce				
Lester B. Pearson Building						
125 Sussex Drive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2						
Contracting Authority	Telephone No.	Facsimile No.	E-mail :			
Micheline Lafontaine	343-597-9019	racsimile No.	Micheline.lafontaine@international.gc.ca			
WE HEREBY OFFER TO SELL TO HER MA						
HEREIN AND ON AI	NY ATTACHED SHEETS AT	THE PRICE(S) SET OUT	THEREFOR.			
Bidder's Name						
Bidder's Complete Address						
Bidder's Complete Address						
NAME & TITLE OF THE PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN ON BEHALF OF BIDDER (PLEASE PRINT)						
O'markana						
Signature	ture Date					

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 2023-7449056 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client 7449056

Amd. No. - N° de la modif. 002 File No. - N° du dossier 7449056

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The amendment 001 has been published on May 10^{th} , 2023 to raise the Q&A (1 to 9).

The amendment 002 is raised to modify section 4.2 Basis of Selection

DELETE: in its entirety.

4.2 Basis of Selection

REPLACE BY:

4.2 Basis of Selection

SACC Manual Clause A0027T (2022-12-01), Basis of Selection – Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

4.2.1 Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit 20% and Price 80 %

- 4.2.1.1 To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - (a) comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation;
 - (b) meet all the mandatory evaluation criteria; and
 - (c) have section point rated technical criteria completed.
- 4.2.1.2 Bids not meeting 4.2.1.1 (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest number of points nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted.
- 4.2.1.3 The lowest evaluated price (LP) of all responsive bids will be identified and a pricing score (PS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i): PSi = LP / Pi x 80. Pi is the evaluated price (P) of each responsive bid (i).
- 4.2.1.4 A technical merit score (TMS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i):TMSi = OSi x 20 . OSi is the overall score (OS) obtained by each responsive bid (i) for all the point rated technical criteria, determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available.
- 4.2.1.5 The combined rating (CR) of technical merit and price of each responsive bid (i) will be determined as follows: CRi = PSi + TMSi.
- 4.2.1.6 The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract. In the event two or more responsive bids have the same highest combined rating of technical merit and price, the responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract.
- 4.2.1.7 The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 20/80 ratio of the technical merit and price, respectively.

Amd. No. - N° de la modif. 002 File No. - N° du dossier 7449056

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Offers will be evaluated on the basis of the Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit **20** % and Price **80** % using the following formula:

Offeror's Technical Score x ratio

Lowest price offer x ratio

Highest combined rating

Highest Technical score

Offeror's price

Example of Best Value Determination (80% on technical merit and 20% on price)

	BIDDER 1	BIDDER 2	BIDDER 3
Technical Evaluation	115/115	110/115	105/115
Price	\$250,000	\$245,000	\$235,000

POINT DETERMINATION	BIDDER 1	BIDDER 2	BIDDER 3
Technical Points	115/115 x 20 = 20	110/115 x 20 = 19.13	105/115 x 20 = 18.26
Price Points	\$235,000/\$250,000 x 80 = 75.20	\$235,000/\$245,000 x 80 = 76.73	\$235,000/\$235,000 x 80 = 80
Total Score	95.20	95.86	98.26

Note: In this example the successful bidder would be No. 3 which has scored the highest combined technical merit and price.

ALL OTHER TERMS REMAIN THE SAME